

DIVERGENCE OF THE $\frac{1}{N_f}$ - SERIES EXPASION IN QED

M. Azam

Theoretical Physics Division, Central Complex, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre

Trombay, Mumbai-400085, India

Abstract

The perturbative expansion series in coupling constant in QED is divergent. It is either an asymptotic series or an arrangement of a conditionally convergent series. The sums of these types of series depend on the way we arrange the partial sums for successive approximations. The $1/N_f$ series expansion in QED, where N_f is the number of flavours, defines a rearrangement of the perturbative series in coupling constant, and therefore, its convergence would serve as a proof that the perturbative series is, in fact, conditionally convergent. Unfortunately, the $1/N_f$ series also diverges. We proof this using arguments similar to those of Dyson.

We expect that some of the ideas and techniques discussed in our paper will find some use in finding the true nature of the perturbation series in coupling constant as well as the $1/N_f$ expansion series.

PACS numbers:03.65.-w,11.01.-z,12.20.-m

It is more than half a century since Dyson proved that the perturbation theory in the coupling constant in Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) is divergent [1]. In this paper, we consider QED with large number of flavours (i.e., large number of species) of fermions. Large flavour limit is used in QED to argue for the existence of Landau singularity in the leading order in $\frac{1}{N_f}$ [2,3]. Large flavour expansion is also used in other relativistic as well as non-relativistic field theories. In particular, it has been very successfully used to proof many seminal results in Landau Fermi liquid theory, again, in the leading order in $\frac{1}{N_f}$ [4-6]. Therefore, if the leading order results are to be taken on their face values, it is imperative to know whether the series obtained by $\frac{1}{N_f}$ expansion is convergent.

There is another important reason why one should look for expansion series in parameters other than the coupling constant. We know that, even though the ordinary perturbation theory diverges, order by order summation of the series gives excellent agreement with experiments. However, we do believe that, at some order, this is going to fail. This opens up two possibilities: either series is conditionally convergent or it is an asymptotic power series. We explain the difference between the two types of series. Let us consider the series given by,

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} - \dots \dots \dots \quad (1)$$

Term by term summation of the series up to a certain number of terms, gives a good approximation to $\ln 2$. However, after that, it starts diverging. Note that this series is an arrangement of a conditionally convergent series (CCS). An infinite real series is called conditionally convergent if it converges but not absolutely. The convergence is associated with an arrangement of the series. In other words, one can always arrange the partial sums in such a that the series converges. Note that for a convergent series the sum does not depend on the arrangement of the partial sums. Let a CCS be given by, $S = \sum_n a_n$, then the following property holds: (1) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \rightarrow 0$ (for divergent series appearing in physical problems, it is always hard to decide which is a generic term because terms can always be regrouped to define a completely different generic term), (2) the absolute series $\sum_n |a_n|$ diverges, and

(3) the negative and positive series diverge independently. The most important property of CCS in the present context is its behaviour under rearrangement. It is well known that the sum of a CCS crucially depends on the way partial sums are arranged. For example, by suitably arranging the partial sums, the sum of series given by Eq.(1) can be made zero. A remarkable theorem due to Riemann [7,8] brings out this property.

Theorem: For any given number on the real line (including $-\infty$ and $+\infty$), there exists an arrangement of a CCS such that the sum of the series converges to it.

There is another type of series which behaves like a convergent series upto a certain number of terms but after that it behaves like a divergent series. This type of series is called asymptotic series [9] and is generally defined through a power series representation of a function. Function $f(x)$ is said to have an asymptotic power series representation if for all n ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i}{x^n} \right| = 0$$

In other words,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i + \mathcal{O}(x^n)$$

This means that the error in estimating the function is of the same order as the last term in the series. To explain, let us consider the following function,

$$F(x) = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-t}}{1+xt} dt$$

for real positive x and $x \rightarrow 0$. Since,

$$\frac{1}{1+xt} = 1 - xt + x^2 t^2 - \dots + \frac{(-xt)^k}{1+xt}$$

we have,

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k x^k k! + R_{N+1}(x) \quad ; \quad |R_N(x)| = N! x^N$$

The ratio of the two successive terms is

$$\frac{x^k k!}{x^{(k-1)}(k-1)!} = xk$$

This shows that the terms first decrease (since by assumption $0 < x \ll 1$) and then increases (when $k > \frac{1}{x}$). From this it follows that for a given value of x , there exists a best approximation. In other words, for a fixed value of x , only a definite accuracy can be achieved. These properties are found to hold for most asymptotic expansions which appear in physical problems. It is clear from above that under rearrangement this type of series would be drastically altered.

In this paper, we consider QED with large number of flavours, N_f , of fermions. Coupling constant e is small, N_f is large and $e^2 N_f$ is also small. This is what we represent by saying that $e^2 N_f = \text{small constant}$ when $N_f \rightarrow \infty$. To calculate the value any physical observable, we can, in principle, carry out the usual perturbative analysis. The results would clearly depend on coupling constant as well as N_f . On the other hand, we may choose to carry out expansion in $1/N_f$. This, $1/N_f$ -series expansion is based on the regrouping of the parameters ($e^2 N_f = \text{constant}$) and rearrangement of the perturbative series in coupling constant. Instead of summing the perturbative series order by order, we sum it loop wise. First, the one loop diagrams are summed which gives the leading term in $1/N_f$, and then the two loop diagrams are summed which gives the next-to-leading term and so on. If the original perturbative series were conditionally convergent or an asymptotic power series, then this rearranged series could in principle yield a different sum of the series. We, obviously, are not in a position to calculate the sum of the series. However, if we could argue that this series in $1/N_f$ is convergent, then this could serve as a proof that the perturbation series in coupling constant is conditionally convergent. Unfortunately, it turns out that the $1/N_f$ -series is divergent. We proof this using arguments similar to those of Dyson.

There are claims in literature regarding the proof of the divergence of perturbative theory based on the fact that the number of Feynman diagrams increases factorially with the order in the large orders of the perturbation theory. Note that these proofs would pertain to the absolute convergence of the series, and the positive and negative series independently (for

a recent survey of these results see [11] and references there in) .

Dyson's arguments for the divergence of perturbation theory in QED is elegant in its' simplicity. Since we will be using similar arguments for the divergence of $\frac{1}{N_f}$ - expansion series in QED, we quote the following paragraphs from his paper: ".... let

$$F(e^2) = a_0 + a_1 e^2 + a_2 e^4 + \dots$$

be a physical quantity which is calculated as a formal power series in e^2 by integrating the equations of motion of the theory over a finite or infinite time. Suppose, if possible, that the series... converges for some positive value of e^2 ; this implies that $F(e^2)$ is an analytic function of e at $e = 0$. Then for sufficiently small value of e , $F(-e^2)$ will also be a well-behaved analytic function with a convergent power series expansion.

But for $F(-e^2)$ we can also make a physical interpretation. ... In the fictitious world, like charges attract each other. The potential between static charges, in the classical limit of large distances and large number of elementary charges, will be just the Coulomb potential with the sign reversed. But it is clear that in the fictitious world the vacuum state as ordinarily defined is not the state of lowest energy. By creating a large number N of electron-positron pairs, bringing the electrons in one region of space and the positrons in another separate region, it is easy to construct a pathological state in which the negative potential energy of the Coulomb forces is much greater than the total rest energy and the kinetic energy of the particles. Suppose that in the fictitious world the state of the system is known at a certain time to be an ordinary physical state with only a few particles present. There is a high potential barrier separating the physical state from the pathological state of equal energy; to overcome the barrier it is necessary to supply the rest energy for creation of many particles. Nevertheless, because of the quantum-mechanical tunnel effect, there will always be a finite probability that in any finite time-interval the system will find itself in a pathological state. Thus every physical state is unstable against the spontaneous creation of many particles. Further, a system once in a pathological state will not remain steady; there will be rapid creation of more and more particles, an explosive disintegration of the vacuum

by spontaneous polarization. In these circumstances it is impossible that the integration of the equation of motion of the theory over any finite or infinite time interval, starting from a given state of the fictitious world, should lead to well-defined analytic functions. Therefore $F(-e^2)$ can not be analytic and the series ... can not be convergent.”

The central idea in Dyson’s proof of the divergence of perturbation theory in coupling constant, as is evident from the lengthy quotation above, is that the convergence of the perturbation theory in coupling constant would lead to the existence of pathological states to which the normal states of QED would decay. These pathological states correspond to states of a quantum field theory whose ground state or vacuum state is unstable. In the case of $\frac{1}{N_f}$ expansion series of QED, we will prove that its’ convergence also leads to the existence of pathological states to which normal states of large flavour QED would decay. We explicitly construct the field theory to which these pathological states correspond. This field theory with unstable vacuum state turns out to be different from the one constructed by Dyson. It is a quantum field theory with commuting fermions.

Before we discuss the divergence of $\frac{1}{N_f}$ -expansion series in QED we would like to make some remarks. Dyson’s description of the instability of ground state in QED through spontaneous particle production, gives the impression that his arguments regarding divergence of perturbative series applies only to relativistic quantum field theories. Subsequently, it has been clarified by Arkady Veinshtein [10] and others that the arguments applies equally well to perturbation series in quantum quantum mechanics such as anharmonic oscillators with quartic interaction terms (see [11] for details). The second point concerns the relevance of large flavour expansion in physics. Our discussion of large flavour expansion is centered around QED . In this case it may look a bit artificial because the number flavours in QED is very small. Bellow we demonstrate that there are physical situations where large number of flavours appear very naturally and our arguments can be trivially carried over to these cases. We have in mind the the Feldman Model [4] of weakly interacting electron gas (see ref. [5,6] for details, Sec-II of ref. [2] for a brief description of the model and summary of main results). This model describes a condensed matter Fermi system in thermal equilib-

rium at some temperature T (for simplicity, assume $T = 0$) and chemical potential μ . On microscopic scale ($\approx 10^{-8}$ cm), it can be described approximately in terms of non-relativistic electrons with short range two body interactions. The thermodynamic quantities such as conductivity depend only on physical properties of the system at mesoscopic length scale ($\approx 10^{-4}$ cm), and therefore, are determined from processes involving momenta of the order of $\frac{k_F}{\lambda}$ around the Fermi surface, where the parameter, $\lambda \gg 1$, should be thought of as a ratio of meso-to-microscopic length scale. This is generally referred to as the scaling limit (large λ , low frequencies) of the system. The most important observation of Feldman et. al. is that in the scaling limit, systems of non-relativistic (free) electrons in d spatial dimensions behave like a system of multi-flavoured relativistic chiral Dirac fermions in $1 + 1$ dimensions. The number of flavours $N_f \approx \text{const. } \lambda^{d-1}$.

Consider a system of non-relativistic free electrons in d spatial dimensions with the Euclidean action,

$$S_0(\psi^*, \psi) = \sum_{\sigma} \int d^{d+1}x \psi_{\sigma}^*(x) (i\partial_0 - \frac{1}{2m}\Delta - \mu) \psi_{\sigma}(x) \quad (2)$$

The Euclidean free fermion Green's function, $G_{\sigma\sigma'}^0(x-y)$, where σ and σ' are the spin indices, $x = (t, \vec{x})$ and $y = (s, \vec{y})$, t and s are imaginary times, $t > s$, is given by,

$$G_{\sigma\sigma'}^0(x-y) = \langle \psi_{\sigma}^*(x) \psi_{\sigma}(y) \rangle_{\mu} = -\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \int (dk) \frac{e^{-ik_0(t-s) + i\vec{k}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}}{ik_0 - (\frac{k^2}{2m} - \mu)} \quad (3)$$

In the scaling limit, the leading contributions to $G_{\sigma\sigma'}^0(x-y)$ come from modes whose momenta are contained in a shell $S_F^{(\lambda)}$ of thickness $\frac{k_F}{\lambda}$ around the Fermi surface S_F . Let us introduce the new variables $\vec{\omega}$, $p_{\parallel}$, p_0 such that $k_F \vec{\omega} \in S_F$, $p_0 = k_0$ and $\vec{k} = (k_F + p_{\parallel})\vec{\omega}$. If $\vec{k} \in S_F^{(\lambda)}$, then $p_{\parallel} \ll k_F$, and we can approximate the integrand of Eq.(3), by dropping $p_{\parallel}^2$ term in the denominator. In other words,

$$G_{\sigma\sigma'}^0(x-y) = \delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \int \frac{d\sigma(\vec{\omega})}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} k_F^{d-1} e^{ik_F \vec{\omega}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})} G_c(t-s, \vec{\omega}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})) \quad (4)$$

where $d\sigma(\vec{\omega})$ is the uniform measure on unit sphere and

$$G_c(t-s, \vec{\omega}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})) = - \int \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \frac{dp_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ik_0(t-s) + ip_{\parallel} \vec{\omega}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}}{ip_0 - v_F p_{\parallel}} \quad (5)$$

is the Green's function of chiral Dirac fermion in $1 + 1$ dimension. $v_F = k_F/m$ is the Fermi velocity. The $\vec{\omega}$ -integration in Eq.(4) can be further approximated by replacing it with summation over discrete directions $\vec{\omega}_j$ by dividing the shell $S_F^{(\lambda)}$ into N small boxes $B_{\vec{\omega}_j}, j = 1, \dots, N$ of roughly cubical shape. The box, $B_{\vec{\omega}_j}$, is centered at $\vec{\omega}_j \in S_F$ and has an approximate side length $\frac{k_F}{\lambda}$. The number of boxes N is given by,

$$N = \frac{\text{Volume of the shell } S_F^{(\lambda)}}{\text{Volume of the cubical boxes } B_{\vec{\omega}_j}} = \frac{\Omega_{d-1} k_F^{d-1} \times \frac{k_F}{\lambda}}{\left(\frac{k_F}{\lambda}\right)^d} = \Omega_{d-1} \lambda^{d-1}$$

where Ω_{d-1} is the surface volume of unit sphere in d spatial dimensions. The Green's function is, now, given by

$$G_{\sigma\sigma'}^0(x-y) = -\delta_{\sigma\sigma'} \sum_{\vec{\omega}_j} \int \frac{dp_0}{2\pi} \frac{dp_{\parallel}}{2\pi} \frac{p_{\perp}}{2\pi} \frac{e^{-ip_0(t-s)+i\vec{p}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})}}{ip_0 - v_F p_{\parallel}} \quad (6)$$

where $\vec{p} = p_{\parallel}\vec{\omega} + \vec{p}_{\perp}$ is a vector in $B_{\vec{\omega}_j} - k_F\vec{\omega}_j$ and $p_0 \in \mathcal{R}$. Thus in the scaling limit, the behaviour of a d -dimensional non-relativistic free electron gas is described by ($N_f =$) $N = \Omega_{d-1} \lambda^{d-1}$ flavours of free chiral Dirac fermions in $1 + 1$ dimensional space-time. The weakly interacting system electrons can be described as interacting $(1+1)$ -dimensional chiral fermions with large number of flavours. In the concluding section, we provide arguments to show that our method has some interesting consequences for this model.

Now we describe the central theme of the paper. The Lagrangian of QED with number of flavours, N_f , is given by,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}^j \left(i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + m - e\gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu} \right) \psi^j + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \quad (7)$$

where ψ^j and $\bar{\psi}^j$ are the Dirac field and its' conjugate, j is the flavour index, and A_{μ} and $F_{\mu\nu}$ are the electromagnetic potential and the field strength respectively. We will, ultimately, be considering cases with both the positive and negative sign of N_f , and therefore, we introduce the notation $|N_f| = \text{sign}(N_f) \times N_f$ for latter convinience. The $\frac{1}{N_f}$ -expansion is introduced by assuming that, in the limit $|N_f| \rightarrow \infty$, $e^2|N_f| = \text{constant} = \alpha^2$ (say) . Alternatively, instead of the Lagrangian given by Eq.(7), we may consider the following Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} \bar{\psi}^j \left(i\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + m - \frac{e}{\sqrt{|N_f|}} \gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu} \right) \psi^j + \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 \quad (8)$$

With this form of the Langrangian, it is easy to set up Feynman diagram technique. To each photon and fermion line corresponds their usual propagator. Each vertex contributes a factor of $\frac{e}{\sqrt{|N_f|}}$, each fermion loop contributes a factor of (-1) for anticommuting fermions and a factor of $|N_f|$ because of summation over fermion flavours. Using these rules, it is easy to set up $1/N_f$ expansion series for any physical observable. Just as in the case of perturbation theory in the coupling constant, the expansion in $\frac{1}{N_f}$ allows us to express an observable F in the form,

$$F\left(\frac{1}{N_f}\right) = Q_0 + \frac{1}{N_f} Q_1 + \frac{1}{N_f^2} Q_2 + \dots \quad (9)$$

$Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, \dots$ are some functions of the coupling constant. Now suppose that the series converges for some small value of $\frac{1}{N_f}$ (large value of N_f), then the observable function $F\left(\frac{1}{N_f}\right)$ is analytic for $\frac{1}{N_f} = 0$ ($N_f = \infty$). Therefore, we can consider a small negative value of $\frac{1}{N_f}$ (large negative value of N_f) for which the function is analytic and convergent. In other words, the function $F\left(\frac{1}{N_f}\right)$ can be analytically continued to small negative value of $1/N_f$ and the series thus obtained will be convergent.

What is meaning of negative N_f ? Before we look for an answer to this question, let us calculate the effective coupling constant for positive as well as negative N_f using the formal $1/N_f$ -expansion series for the two point Green's function. The series is assumed to be convergent, and therefore, for sufficient large N_f , one can restrict to the leading order term. The leading order term is given by the one-loop diagrams which can easily be evaluated to obtain the polarization from which one can read off the effective coupling constant. It is given by,

$$e_{eff}^2(\Lambda^2) = \frac{e^2}{1 - \frac{e^2 N_f}{3\pi |N_f|} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}} \quad (10)$$

If N_f is negative,

$$e_{eff}^2(\Lambda^2) = \frac{e^2}{1 + \frac{e^2}{3\pi} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}} \quad (11)$$

From the equation above, we find that in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $e_{eff}^2 \rightarrow 0$, when N_f is negative. Therefore, the formal theory that we obtain from the analytical continuation of $1/N_f$ (

for large N_f) to the small negative value of $1/N_f$, is (at least formally) asymptotically free. This seem to suggest that the physical meaning of the negative sign of N_f could possibly be traced in the free theory without the interaction term.

We will argue that the choice of negative N_f for anticommuting fermions amounts to considering commuting fermions with positive N_f . Let us consider the Langrangian given by Eq.(7) in four dimensional Euclidean space. The partition function is given by the following functional integral,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ac} = \int DA(x) D\bar{\psi}(x) D\psi(x) \exp(- \int d^4x \mathcal{L}) \quad (12)$$

We carry out funtional integration with respect to the anticommuting fermion fields (grassman variables) and obtain,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ac} = \int DA(x) \det^{N_f} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m - e\gamma^\mu A_\mu) \exp(-\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^2) \quad (13)$$

However, if one considers fermion fields to be commuting variables, then it turns out to be functional integration over complex fields, and we obtain,

$$\mathcal{Z}_c = \int DA(x) \det^{-N_f} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m - e\gamma^\mu A_\mu) \exp(-\frac{1}{4} \int d^4x F_{\mu\nu}^2) \quad (14)$$

This expression could be obtained from the previous expression, simply by assuming that N_f is negative. Therefore, anticommuting fermions with negative N_f has the same partition function as the commuting fermions with positive N_f . Since, physically interesting observables can be calculated from the partition function, our claim is that the negative flavour anticommuting fermion is equivalent positive flavour commuting fermions.

This can also be argued using formal perturbation theory. Consider the two point Green's functions for the photons using Lagrangian given by Eq.(8) . First, we consider just one loop diagram and show how the contribution due to flavours appears in the calculations. There are two vertices and a fermion loop, each vertex contributes a factor of $\frac{e}{\sqrt{|N_f|}}$, the fermion loop contributes a multiplicative factor of (-1) because the fermions anticommute and a multiplicative factor of N_f because of summation over flavours of the internal fermion

lines. Now, if N_f happens to be negative, the factor (-1) and the factor N_f , combines to give the factor $|N_f|$. This is also the contribution if the fermions commute and the flavour is positive (the factor (-1) is absent for commuting fermions). The same procedure applies for the multiloop diagrams. Calculation of any observable in QED, essentially amounts to calculating a set of Feynman diagrams. The information regarding the anticommuting nature of the fermions in the calculation of the Feynman diagrams enters through the multiplicative factor of (-1) for each fermion loop that appear in the diagram. Each such loop, as explained above also contributes a factor of N_f . Therefore, when N_f is taken to be negative, the over all multiplicative factor becomes $|N_f|$. As explained above, we would obtain the same multiplicative factor if we treat the fermions as commuting fields and consider N_f to be positive. This shows that the choice of negative N_f for anticommuting fermions amounts to considering commuting fermions with positive N_f . We argued above, on formal grounds, that QED with anticommuting fermions and negative value of N_f is asymptotically free. Therefore, formally speaking, QED with commuting fermions and positive N_f is asymptotically free.

It is well known that the free field theory of commuting fermions does not have a stable vacuum or ground state [12–14]. From the arguments above, it then follows that the interacting theory also can not have a stable vacuum state. All states in this theory are pathological. All these results follow from the single assumption that the $1/N_f$ -expansion series in QED is convergent. Therefore, the convergence of the series in QED with anticommuting fermions would lead to the decay of normal states to the pathological states of QED with commuting fermions via the process of quantum mechanical tunnelling. Therefore, for QED to be meaningful, the series in $\frac{1}{N_f}$ expansion must diverge.

Our main aim in this work was to find the nature of the divergent perturbation series. We considered the $1/N_f$ expansion series which, as explained in the text, is a rearrangement of the perturbation series in coupling constant. Had the series converged, we could have concluded that the perturbative series is conditionally convergent. However, we proved that this series also diverges. We are also unable to say anything about the nature this divergent

series in $1/N_f$. However, our method provides some insight into nature of $1/N_f$ expansion series in the case of Feldman model which describes very well the properties of weakly interacting system of electrons. We will describe the case when the interaction among the electrons in the condensed matter medium is attractive. We have argued earlier that such a system is equivalent to a system of $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional Dirac fermions with number of flavour $N_f = \text{const. } \lambda^d$. Using large flavour expansion technique in the renormalization group analysis of such systems it has been proven that the perturbative ground state of such a system is unstable [2,6]. This is the BCS instability. The proof is based on the assumption that $\frac{1}{N_f}$ expansion series converges and one can restrict to the leading order term. Formal analytical continuation to the negative flavour in the renormalization group analysis, leads to effective coupling constant, $g_{eff} = 0$. Therefore, the analytically continued theory is equivalent to a theory of free commuting $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional Dirac fermions. The ground state of this theory is also unstable. Instability of ground state of the theory at both ends of analytically continued domains is consistent with the convergence of $\frac{1}{N_f}$ -expansion series in case of weakly interacting electron systems with attractive interactions. In the case of repulsive interaction among the electrons in the condensed matter medium, it is not hard to prove that the $1/N_f$ series expansion is divergent. However, the arguments involved in the proof is slightly different from the one discussed in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.J.Dyson, Phys.Rev. **85**, 631 (1952)
- [2] M.Azam,"Landau Singularity and the Instability of Vacuum State in QED", hep-th/0109061
- [3] L.D.Landau and I.J.Pomeranchuk, Dokl.Akad.Nauk.,SSSR **102**,489(1955)
- [4] J.Feldman, J.Magnan, V.Rivasseau, and E.Trubowitz, Euro-phys.Lett.**24**, 437 (1993);
ibid **24**, 521 (1993)
- [5] R.Shankar, Rev.Mod.Phys. **66**, 129(1994)
- [6] J.Froehlich, T.Chen and M.Seifert, "Renormalization Group Methods: Landau-Fermi Liquid and BCS Superconductors", cond-mat/9508063
- [7] B.R.Gelbaum and J.H.Olmsted, Counterexample in analysis (Holden, 1964) pp54-56
- [8] M.Azam, S.R.Jain, and S.V.Lawande, Phys.Lett**A158** 462(1991)
- [9] L.Sirovich, Techniques of Asymptotic Analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1971
- [10] Arkady I. Vainstein, "Decaying Systems and Divergence of Perturbation Theory", Novosibirsk Report, December 1964, reprinted in Russian with English translation by M.Shifman in proceedings of QCD2002/ArkadyFest
- [11] Gerald V. Dunne, "Perturbative-Nonperturbative Connections in Quantum Mechanics and Field Theory", hep-th/0207046
- [12] I.M.Gelfand, R.A.Milnos, and Z.Ya.Shapiro, Representation of the Rotation and Lorentz Groups and Their Applications, Pergamon Press, 1963
- [13] M.A.Naimark, Linear Representation of the Lorentz Group, Pergamon Press, 1964
- [14] S.Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields, Cambridge University Press, 1995